## LEGISLATIVE SERVICES AGENCY OFFICE OF FISCAL AND MANAGEMENT ANALYSIS

200 W. Washington, Suite 301 Indianapolis, IN 46204 (317) 233-0696 http://www.in.gov/legislative

## FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

**LS 6908** NOTE PREPARED: Jan 2, 2006

BILL NUMBER: HB 1121 BILL AMENDED:

**SUBJECT:** Flood Control.

FIRST AUTHOR: Rep. Orentlicher BILL STATUS: As Introduced

FIRST SPONSOR:

FUNDS AFFECTED: X GENERAL IMPACT: State & Local

DEDICATED FEDERAL

<u>Summary of Legislation:</u> This bill requires the Natural Resources Commission (NRC) to direct the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) to prepare a statewide flood risk and flood damage assessment.

The bill places a limit on the maximum amount of fill material that may be placed in a floodway, subject to certain exceptions. It makes violation of the limit a Class B infraction.

The bill specifies the standards of review for an application for a permit for certain obstructing activities in a floodway. The bill allows summary denial of a permit application in certain circumstances. It prohibits a person from placing certain materials in a floodway as fill or for the purposes of bank stabilization. It also places restrictions on the use of flood conveyance channels.

Effective Date: July 1, 2006.

**Explanation of State Expenditures:** *Statewide Assessment.* The NRC must direct the DNR to prepare a statewide flood risk and flood damage assessment that quantifies annual flood damage in Indiana, including flood insurance claims and payments; liability of state and local government for flood damage; and other costs. The assessment must also identify properties that are most at risk from flood damage and assess statewide trends in flood flows and flood storage capacity and the factors affecting those trends; and assess environmental conditions along Indiana floodways. The DNR should be able to complete the above tasks given its existing personnel and resources. It is possible that the DNR may need to reassign personnel or adjust priorities in order to accomplish the task.

HB 1121+ 1

*Violations and Penalties*. The bill places a limit on the maximum amount of fill material that may be placed in a floodway, subject to certain exceptions. It prohibits a person from placing certain materials in a floodway as fill or for the purposes of bank stabilization. A person who knowingly violates these provisions commits a Class B infraction. Each day of continuing violation after conviction of the offense constitutes a separate offense. Infractions judgments are deposited in the state General Fund.

A person may also not place in a floodway as fill or for the purposes of bank stabilization contaminants, solid waste, garbage, other used or waste materials, including coal combustion wastes or byproducts, concrete, asphalt, foundry sand, automobiles, automobile bodies or parts, tires, steel slag, metal parts, or white goods. Persons who commit violations could also be subject to a civil penalty. Civil penalties are deposited in the state General Fund.

Review of Permit Applications. The bill specifies standards that the DNR must use to review an application for a permit for certain obstructing activities in a floodway. The bill allows summary denial of a permit application in certain circumstances. An applicant may not file a third application for a permit for a project if the director has denied two applications by the applicant for the project because the permit was incomplete or the permit application contained modeling demonstrating an unacceptable surcharge. The DNR must adopt rules to implement these provisions. It is likely that the above provisions will reduce DNR administrative expenses. The overall impact, however, is indeterminable and will depend on future permit applications.

**Explanation of State Revenues:** If additional court cases occur, revenue to the state General Fund may increase if infraction judgments and court fees are collected. The maximum judgment for a Class B infraction is \$1,000, which is deposited in the state General Fund.

If court actions are filed and a judgment is entered, a court fee of \$70 would be assessed, 70% of which would be deposited in the state General Fund if the case is filed in a court of record or 55% if the case is filed in a city or town court.

## **Explanation of Local Expenditures:**

Explanation of Local Revenues: If additional court actions are filed and a judgment is entered, local governments would receive revenue from the following sources: (1) The county general fund would receive 27% of the \$70 court fee that is assessed in a court of record. Cities and towns maintaining a law enforcement agency that prosecutes at least 50% of its ordinance violations in a court of record may receive 3% of court fees. If the case is filed in a city or town court, 20% of the court fee would be deposited in the county general fund and 25% would be deposited in the city or town general fund. (2) A \$3 fee would be assessed and, if collected, would be deposited into the county law enforcement continuing education fund. (3) A \$2 jury fee is assessed and, if collected, would be deposited into the county user fee fund to supplement the compensation of jury members.

**State Agencies Affected:** DNR.

Local Agencies Affected: Trial courts, local law enforcement agencies.

**Information Sources:** 

Fiscal Analyst: Bernadette Bartlett, 317-232-9586.

HB 1121+ 2